Gd and Eu Co-Doped Nanoscale Metal–Organic Framework as a $T_1$–$T_2$ Dual-Modal Contrast Agent for Magnetic Resonance Imaging
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ABSTRACT

Recently, a growing interest has been seen in the development of $T_1$–$T_2$ dual-mode probes that can simultaneously enhance contrast on $T_1$- and $T_2$-weighted images. A common strategy is to integrate $T_1$ and $T_2$ components in a decoupled manner into a nanoscale particle. This approach, however, often requires a multi-step synthesis and delicate nanoeengineering, which may potentially affect the production and wide application of the probes. We herein report the facile synthesis of a 50-nm nanoscale metal–organic framework (NMOF) comprising gadolinium (Gd) and europium (Eu) as metallic nodes. These nanoparticles can be prepared in large quantities and can be easily coated with a layer of silica. The yielded Eu,Gd-NMOF@SiO2 nanoparticles are less toxic, highly fluorescent, and afford high longitudinal (38 mM$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$) and transversal (222 mM$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$) relaxivities on a 7 T magnet. The nanoparticles were conjugated with c(RGDyK), a tumor-targeting peptide sequence, which has a high binding affinity toward integrin $\alpha_v\beta_3$. Eu,Gd-NMOF@SiO2 nanoparticles, when intratumorally or intravenously injected, induce simultaneous signal enhancement and signal attenuation on $T_1$- and $T_2$-weighted images, respectively. These results suggest great potential of the NMOFs as a novel $T_1$–$T_2$ dual-modal contrast agent.

INTRODUCTION

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is one of the most widely used diagnostic tools in clinics. MRI affords a number of advantages such as noninvasiveness, high spatial and temporal resolutions, and good soft tissue contrast (1, 2). However, the intrinsic MRI signals are often suboptimal in delineating internal organs and diseased tissues. To improve imaging quality, contrast agents, often in the form of paramagnetic compounds or superparamagnetic nanoparticles, are administered before or during an MRI scan (3–5). These magnetic agents alter local magnetic environments, inducing shortened longitudinal relaxation times ($T_1$) and transverse relaxation times ($T_2$). Although most agents shorten both $T_1$ and $T_2$, the impact is often dominant on one side. So far in clinics, the most commonly used $T_1$ agents are gadolinium (Gd) complexes (6) and those for $T_2$ imaging are iron oxide nanoparticles (7).

Recently, a growing interest has been seen in the development of $T_1$–$T_2$ dual-mode contrast agents that can simultaneously modulate $T_1$- and $T_2$-weighted contrasts. Such a technology is attractive because MRI has an intrinsic high background signal. Even with conventional $T_1$ and $T_2$ contrast agents, the diagnosis can often be affected by artifacts caused by truncation, motion, aliasing, or chemical shift (8). $T_1$–$T_2$ dual-modal imaging may minimize the risks of ambiguity and improve image conspicuity and diagnostic sensitivity (9–11). To this end, there have been some efforts of integrating $T_1$ and $T_2$ contrast components using nanoscale engineering. These include tethering Gd-complex onto the surface of iron oxide nanoparticles (12), doping Gd cations into the matrix of iron oxide nanoparticles (13, 14), and forming a core/shell nanostructure where the $T_1$ and $T_2$ components are magnetically decoupled (15, 16). However, these approaches often involve a multi-step synthesis and/or a delicate control over the interaction between the $T_1$ and
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Herein, we report the facile synthesis of a novel, nanoscale metal–organic framework (NMOF)-based T$_1$–T$_2$ dual-modal contrast agent. In particular, using isophthalic acid (H$_2$IPA) as building blocks, Eu$^{3+}$ and Gd$^{3+}$ as metallic nodes, and polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) as a surfactant, as reaction precursors, we prepared ~50 nm of self-assembled Eu,Gd-NMOFs in large quantities. Unlike conventional NMOFs, which are rapidly degraded in an aqueous environment (17), our Eu,Gd-NMOFs are stable in water for up to 24 hours because of strong interactions between the lanthanides and H$_2$IPA as well as between the lanthanides and the PVP coating. To improve the particle stability against transmetalation, the Eu,Gd-NMOFs were further coated with a layer of silica. The resulting Eu,Gd-NMOFs@SiO$_2$ particles manifested both high $r_1$ and high $r_2$ relaxivities (38 mM$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$ and 222 mM$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$, respectively), suggesting their great potential as a novel and versatile multimodal imaging probe.

METHODOLOGY

Materials

The following materials have been used in this study:
Gd(NO$_3$)$_3$·6H$_2$O, Eu(NO$_3$)$_3$·6H$_2$O, H$_2$IPA, PVP40, hexamethylenetetramine (HMTA), dimethylformamide (DMF), tetrahydrofuran, tetraethoxysilicate (TEOS), (3-aminopropyl) triethoxysilane (APTES), ammonia, and ethanol. All these materials were purchased from Aldrich (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, Missouri) and used without further purification.

Synthesis of Eu,Gd-NMOF

In a typical synthesis, H$_2$IPA (1 mg), Gd(NO$_3$)$_3$·6H$_2$O (10 mg), Eu(NO$_3$)$_3$·6H$_2$O (0.5 mg), PVP (60 mg), and HMTA (16 mg) were first dissolved in a mixed solution containing 1.0 mL of DMF and 4.0 mL of water. Precursors of other ratios were also tested. The mixture was heated at 100°C for 4 minutes to induce Eu,Gd-NMOF growth. The resulting Eu,Gd-NMOFs were collected by centrifugation, washed with ethanol, and resuspended in ethanol for further characterization. For comparison, the synthesis was also performed without HMTA or H$_2$IPA.

Synthesis of Silica-Coated Eu,Gd-NMOF (Eu,Gd-NMOF@SiO$_2$)

Eu,Gd-NMOF@SiO$_2$ was prepared by mixing 10 mg of the as-synthesized Eu,Gd-NMOF with 100 µL of TEOS, 10 µL of APTES, and 0.5 mL of ammonia (28%) in 15 mL of ethanol at room temperature overnight. The Eu,Gd-NMOF@SiO$_2$ was isolated by centrifugation at 10 000 rpm for 10 minutes.

Bio-Conjugation (Preparation of Arginylglycylaspartic Acid [RGD]-NMOF@SiO$_2$)

For bio-conjugation, 50 mg of Eu,Gd-NMOF@SiO$_2$ nanoparticles were dispersed in a borate buffer (50 mM, pH 8.3) with magnetic stirring. Into this solution, 0.5 mg of bis(sulfosuccinimidy)luberase was added in 0.1 mL of dimethyl sulfoxide. After 0.5 hours, the conjugate intermediate was collected by centrifugation and dispersed in the borate buffer (50 mM, pH 8.3). c(RGDyK) in dimethyl sulfoxide was added to the solution, and the mixture was incubated at room temperature for 2 hours to form RGD-Eu,Gd-NMOF@SiO$_2$ nanoparticles.

Characterizations

All transmission electron microscopy images were obtained on an FEI Tecnai 20 transmission electron microscope operating at 200 kV (FEI, Hillsboro, Oregon). Optical measurements were performed at room temperature under ambient air conditions. Ultraviolet-visible absorption spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu 2450 UV-Vis spectrometer (Shimadzu Scientific, Columbia, Maryland). Fluorescence measurements were performed using a Hitachi F-7000 spectrophotometer (Hitachi America, Tarrytown, New York). Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectra were recorded on a Nicolet iS10 FT-IR Spectrometer (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts). Powder X-ray diffraction intensity data were collected on a PANalytical X-Pert PRO MRD powder diffractometer using Cu Ka radiation (ASD Inc., Boulder, Colorado).

Stability of Eu,Gd-NMOF and Eu,Gd-NMOF@SiO$_2$ in Water and Phosphate-Buffered Saline

Here, 5 mg of Eu,Gd-NMOFs or Eu,Gd-NMOF@SiO$_2$ were dispersed in 1 mL of aqueous solutions, with pH ranging from 3 to 11. Gentle agitation was applied. After 24 hours, aliquots of the solution were taken to measure the change in fluorescent intensity.

MRI Phantom Study

Eu,Gd-NMOF@SiO$_2$ with Gd concentrations ranging from 5 × 10$^{-5}$ to 0.08 mM were suspended in 1% agarose gel in 300 µL polymerase chain reaction tube. These tubes were then embedded in a homemade tank designed to fit the MRI coil. T$_1$- and T$_2$-weighted magnetic resonance (MR) images of the samples were acquired on a 7 T small animal MRI system (Varian Medical Systems, Inc., Palo Alto, California). For T$_1$-weighted images, a T$_1$ inversion recovery fast-spin echo (FSE) sequence was used with the following parameters: repetition time (TR) = 5000 milliseconds, echo time (TE) = 12 milliseconds, echo train length = 8, inversion times = 5, 10, 30, 50, 80, 200, 500, 700, 900, 1200, and 3000 milliseconds. For T$_2$-weighted images, an FSE sequence was used with the following parameters: TR = 3000 milliseconds, TE = 10–100 milliseconds, with the step size set at 10 milliseconds. For both imaging sets, the following section settings were applied: field-of-view (FOV) = 65 × 65 mm$^2$; matrix size = 256 × 256; coronal sections = 4 with section thickness = 1 mm.

Cell Culture

U87MG (human glioblastoma) cells (ATCC) were grown in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine's Modified Eagle Medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum. The cells were allowed to adhere and grow for 24 hours. After reaching confluence, cells were treated with NIR laser (808 nm, 1 W, 10 minutes) and imaged using a Nikon Ti-E fluorescence microscope.
bovine serum and 100 U/mL of penicillin/streptomycin (ATCC). The cells were maintained in a humidified incubator with 5% carbon dioxide (CO₂) atmosphere at 37°C.

**Toxicity of NMOF In Vitro**
U87MG cells were seeded into a 96-well culture plate at a density of 10,000 cells/well and were cultured overnight. The media were removed and replaced with fresh media containing different Eu,Gd-NMOF@SiO₂ concentrations (0–50 μM Gd³⁺). Plates were incubated for 24 hours at 37°C and 5% CO₂. Viability was measured by 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assays (18).

**Cell Uptake**
U87MG cells were incubated with Eu,Gd-NMOF@SiO₂ or RGD-Eu,Gd-NMOF@SiO₂ (20 μg/mL) in a chamber slide for 1 hour. U87MG cells only served as a negative control. After the incubation, the cells were washed 3 times with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) to remove unbound nanoparticles. The slides were then imaged on an Olympus (Olympus Co. of U.S.A., Center Valley, Pennsylvania) X71 fluorescence microscope.

**In Vitro MRI with Cell Pellets**
U87MG cells were cultured until ~70% confluency was reached. Cells were then washed with PBS, and incubated with 2 mL of media containing 100 μg of RGD-Eu,Gd-NMOF@SiO₂ or Eu,Gd-NMOF@SiO₂. After 1 hour, the media were removed and cells were collected as pellets in 200 μL tubes. These tubes were then embedded in a homemade tank designed to fit the MRI coil. T₁- and T₂-weighted MR images were acquired on a 7 T small animal MRI system (Varian) using an FSE sequence with the following parameters: TR/TE = 500/14 milliseconds (T₁), TR/TE = 3000/8 milliseconds (T₂), section thickness = 0.5 mm, FOV = 60 × 50 mm, echo train length = 8, matrices = 256 × 256, and repeated three times.

**In Vivo MRI with Subcutaneously Injected Nanoparticles**
Animal studies were performed according to a protocol approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of the University of Georgia. Before the in vivo experiments, the Eu,Gd-NMOF@SiO₂ nanospheres were filtered through sterilized membrane filters (pore size = 0.22 μm) and stored in sterilized vials. U87MG cancer cells were subcutaneously inoculated into the right flanks of a 6-week-old nude

---

**Figure 1.** Synthesis of Eu,Gd-NMOFs. Poor size control if hexamethylenetetramine (HMTA) and polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) are not used as reactants (A). Despite the ratio between the lanthanide cations and isophthalic acid (H₂IPA) (the amount of which increased from 10 to 200 mg), the nanoparticle products showed poor size distribution. Notably, the synthesis was conducted in a dimethylformamide (DMF)/tetrahydrofuran (THF) solvent, as the resulting nanoscale metal–organic frameworks (NMOFs) were not stable in water. The impact of HMTA and PVP on the nanoparticle formation (B). Left, when HMTA was added to the precursors, Eu,Gd-NMOFs were formed in a DMF/water solvent, but the particle showed a wide size distribution. Right, when both HMTA and PVP were used, uniform Eu,Gd-NMOFs were obtained.
mice. Imaging was performed ~3 weeks later on a 7 T small animal MRI system (Varian). $T_1$- and $T_2$-weighted MR images were acquired using spin-echo multi sections sequence (SEMSs) and fast spin-echo multi-section sequence (FSEMS), respectively, with the following parameters: TR/TE = 500/14 milliseconds ($T_1$) and TR/TE = 3000/33 milliseconds ($T_2$), section thickness = 1.0 mm, FOV = 60 × 50 mm, matrices = 256 × 256, and repeated three times. Further, 0.8 mg/kg of Eu,Gd-NMOF nanospheres were intratumorally injected. $T_1$- and $T_2$-weighted MR images before and 4 hours after the injection were acquired.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Synthesis and Characterization of Eu,Gd-NMOFs**

Eu,Gd-NMOFs were synthesized by mixing $\text{H}_2\text{IPA}$, $\text{Gd(NO}_3\text{)}_3$, $\text{Eu(NO}_3\text{)}_3$, HMTA and PVP in a mixed solution containing DMF and water, and the solution was heating at 100°C. Previously, Oh et al. reported NMOF synthesis with Gd$_3$, Eu$_3$, and $\text{H}_2\text{IPA}$ in a mixed solvent containing polar aprotic DMF and tetrahydrofuran (19). However, the method has poor size controls over the NMOF products. As manifested in Figure 1A, when using different amounts of $\text{H}_2\text{IPA}$, Eu,Gd-NMOFs of varied morphologies were obtained, but all the products showed a wide size distribution (Figure 1A). Moreover, Eu,Gd-NMOFs synthesized using this method were immediately degraded in water (data not shown).

**In Vivo Liver MRI with Systemically Injected Nanoparticles.**

Six-week-old female BALB/c mice were imaged on a 7 T small animal MRI system (Varian). $T_1$- and $T_2$-weighted MR images were acquired using SEMSs and FSEMS with the following parameters: TR/TE = 500/16 milliseconds ($T_1$) and TR/TE = 2500/8.65 milliseconds ($T_2$), section thickness = 1.0 mm, FOV = 30 × 30 mm, and matrices = 256 × 256. Further, 0.8 mg/kg of Eu,Gd-NMOFs were intravenously injected. $T_1$- and $T_2$-weighted MR images of the liver before and 4 hours after the injection were acquired.
shown), which is a potential problem for bioapplications. To address the issue, we added HMTA to the reaction solution. HMTA increased the pH of the initial reaction solution from \( \sim 5.0 \) to \( \sim 8.15 \), and as such, promoted the ionization and coordination of \( \text{H}_2\text{IPA} \) with \( \text{Gd}^{3+} \) and \( \text{Eu}^{3+} \) (20). Furthermore, we also included PVP as part of the precursors, which was bound to the growing nanoparticle surface to improve the particle stability and control their growth. By adding HMTA and PVP to the reactants, Eu,Gd-NMOFs of narrow size distribution were obtained in a DMF/water mixed solvent (Figure 1B). As a comparison, without the 2 agents, no NMOF was formed under the same condition (data not shown).

Transmission emission microscopy shows that the resulting Eu,Gd-NMOFs were spherical and had an average size of 50 ± 12 nm (Figure 2, A and B). The Eu,Gd-NMOFs were very stable in aqueous solutions, which is rare among NMOFs (17). However, the particles still decomposed when the aqueous solution had a relatively high ionic strength, for instance, PBS. This is presumably due to transmetallation and lanthanides binding with \( \text{PO}_4^{3-} \). To further improve the particle stability, a silica coating was imparted to the surface of Eu,Gd-NMOFs. In particular, we followed the Stöber method (21, 22) and used both TEOS and APTES as silane precursors in the coating. The resulting Eu,Gd-NMOF@SiO\(_2\) particles have a coating thickness of \( \sim 30 \) nm and an overall diameter of 100 ± 20 nm (Figure 2C). X-ray diffraction analysis found a broad peak at around 22.5° (2θ) (Figure 2D), which corresponds to the diffraction by Eu,Gd-NMOFs (JCPDS No. 01-086-1561). Similar results were observed by others in previous studies (23). FT-IR found absorption bands at 1609 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1558 cm\(^{-1}\) for Eu,Gd-NMOF and Eu,Gd-NMOF@SiO\(_2\) respectively (Figure 2E). These absorption bands correspond to the \( \text{C}=\text{O} \) stretch, confirming successful \( \text{H}_2\text{IPA} \) coordination in the system. For the as-synthesized Eu,Gd-NMOFs, there was broad absorption band at around 3600 cm\(^{-1}\), suggesting residual PVP coating on the nanoparticles (Figure 2E). Meanwhile, no characteristic HMTA absorption band at 1370 cm\(^{-1}\) (attributed to the C-N stretch) was observed with

Figure 3. Optical and magnetic properties of Eu,Gd-NMOF@SiO\(_2\). Ultraviolet-visible absorbance of Eu,Gd-NMOF@SiO\(_2\) nanospheres (A). Fluorescent spectrum of Eu,Gd-NMOF@SiO\(_2\). The inset is a photograph of (1) Eu,Gd-NMOF@SiO\(_2\) powder, (2) water, and (3) aqueous solution of Eu,Gd-NMOF@SiO\(_2\) (B). Relaxivity measurements of Eu,Gd-NMOF@SiO\(_2\). Changes in \( R_1 \) (1/\( T_1 \)) and \( R_2 \) (1/\( T_2 \)) were plotted over various Gd concentration. \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \) relaxivities were 38 mM\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) and 222 mM\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\), respectively (C).
Eu,Gd-NMOF, suggesting minimal adsorption of HMTA on the particle surface (Figure 2E).

**Optical and Magnetic Properties of Eu,Gd-NMOF@SiO\(_2\)**

Eu,Gd-NMOF@SiO\(_2\) nanoparticles absorb at around 280 nm (Figure 3A) and have strong emission at 594 and 620 nm (Figure 3B). These 2 emission peaks are attributed to \(5D_0\rightarrow7F_1\) and \(5D_0\rightarrow7F_2\) transitions, respectively (24-26). Such fluorescence can be used to track the nanoparticles in vitro and in histological studies.

The MRI contrast ability of the Eu,Gd-NMOF@SiO\(_2\) nanoparticles was evaluated by phantom studies on a 7 T magnet. In brief, Eu,Gd-NMOF@SiO\(_2\) nanoparticles of increased concentrations were dispersed in 1% agarose gel, and the samples were scanned by MRI using SEMSs and FSEMs. For both \(T_1\)- and \(T_2\)-weighted imaging, the signals were clearly concentration-dependent. In particular, significant signal enhancement was observed in \(T_1\) images at elevated concentrations; in contrast, in \(T_2\) images, signal reduction was observed at high particle concentrations. On the basis of the imaging results, it was deduced that \(r_1\) was 38 mM/s and \(r_2\) was 222 mM/s (Figure 3C). These relaxivity values are much higher than commonly used clinical contrast agents such as Gd–diethylenetriamine pentaacetic acid (\(r_1\) of 3.10 mM/s) and Feridex (\(r_2\) of 117 mM/s) (27). The exact mechanisms behind the high \(r_1\) and \(r_2\) values are unclear, but it may be attributed to the rigid confinement of Gd\(^{3+}\) in the nanosystem and slow interex-
change of Gd$^{3+}$ with water molecules (28). The $r_2/r_1$ ratio is 5.8, which is at the boundary between conventionally defined $T_1$ and $T_2$ agents (29).

**Nanoparticle Stability**

The stability of Eu,Gd-NMOF@SiO$_2$ nanoparticles was studied by monitoring fluorescence changes in different solutions. These included aqueous solutions, with pH ranging from 3 to 11, and PBS. It was observed that the Eu,Gd-NMOF nanoparticles were very stable when the pH was maintained between 4 and 9, and only degraded when the pH was above 9 or below 4 (Figure 4A), suggesting great resistance of the particles against pH changes. In contrast, Eu,Gd-NMOFs were much more labile in PBS, and were largely dissolved within 1 hour (Figure 4B). With the silica coating, however, Eu,Gd-NMOF@SiO$_2$ showed significantly enhanced stability, showing no fluorescence drop in PBS for at least 28 hours (Figure 4B).

**Cytotoxicity and Cell Uptake Studies**

Cytotoxicity of the nanoparticles was evaluated by 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assays with U87MG cells, a human glioblastoma cell line. We found no detectable cytotoxicity with Eu,Gd-NMOF@SiO$_2$ nanoparticles even at very high concentration investigated (20 μM Gd$^{3+}$), indicating good biocompatibility (Figure 4C).

Next, we investigated whether Eu,Gd-NMOF@SiO$_2$ can be visualized by MRI when internalized by cells. To investigate, we conjugated c(RGDyK), a cyclic peptide with high binding affinity against integrin $\alpha_v\beta_3$ (30), to the surface of Eu,Gd-NMOF@SiO$_2$. This was achieved by covalently linking the primary amine of c(RGDyK) and the amine groups on Eu,Gd-NMOF@SiO$_2$ surface using bis(sulfo)suberate as a homo-dimer crosslinker. U87MG cells were then incubated with RGD-Eu,Gd-NMOF@SiO$_2$ and Eu,Gd-NMOF@SiO$_2$ nanoparticles for 1 hour. Notably, U87MG cells are high in integrin $\alpha_v\beta_3$ expression (31).
Under a fluorescence microscope, we observed a significant increase in intracellular red fluorescence, suggesting efficient internalization of RGD-Eu,Gd-NMOF@SiO2 (Figure 5A). As a comparison, Eu,Gd-NMOF@SiO2 nanoparticles showed low cell uptake, indicating that the uptake was mainly mediated by RGD-integrin interaction.

Such RGD-Eu,Gd-NMOF@SiO2-treated cells were also collected as cell pellets and scanned by MRI. On T₁-weighed images, significant signal enhancement was observed with cells that had been incubated with nanoparticles compared with those that had been not been incubated (Figure 5B). This is attributed to hyperintensities induced by RGD-Eu,Gd-NMOF@SiO2 nanoparticles. Meanwhile, significant signal reduction was observed on T₂-weighed images (Figure 5B), which was attributed to hypointensities induced by the RGD-Eu,Gd-NMOF@SiO2. These results confirm that Eu,Gd-NMOF@SiO2-labeled cells can be visualized by both T₁- and T₂-weighed MRI and also by fluorescence microscopy.

In Vivo MRI

For a proof of concept, we investigated the dual-mode contrast capacity of Eu,Gd-NMOF@SiO2 in two in vivo studies. In the first study, we intratumorally injected Eu,Gd-NMOF@SiO2 (0.8 mg/kg in 100 μL PBS, n = 3) to U87MG models and scanned the animals on a 7 T magnet. Similar to the in vitro studies, relative to the prescans, there was significant signal enhancement on T₁-weighed images and signal reduction on T₂-weighed images (Figure 6, A and B). In particular, the average signals in tumors increased by 12% ± 6% on T₁-weighed images after injection and decreased by 89% ± 2% on T₂-weighed images. In the second study, Eu,Gd-NMOF@SiO2 nanoparticles were intravenously injected (0.8 mg/kg) into BALB/c mice, and T₁- and T₂-weighed images of the liver area were acquired both before 1 hour and 1 hour after the injections (Figure 6, C and D). It is well known that nanoparticles after systemic injection are efficiently accumulated in the liver, such as through uptake by Kupffer cells (32). Region of interest analysis showed that relative to the prescans, signals in the liver increased to 157% ± 9% on T₁-weighed images at 1 hour. Interestingly, the signal decreased to 105% ± 2% at 4 hours (relative to the prescans; Figure 6E). This is probably attributed to considerably high concentration of Eu,Gd-NMOF@SiO2 in the liver at the time point, leading to signal saturation. Similar phenomenon has been observed by others (33, 34). Meanwhile, on T₂-weighed images, signals in the liver decreased to 57% ± 12% on T₂ images at 1 hour and to 38% ± 16% at 4 hours (Figure 6F). Overall, these results confirm the feasibility of using Eu,Gd-NMOF@SiO2 nanoparticles as a T₁–T₂ dual-mode imaging probe.

CONCLUSIONS

We have developed a novel and facile procedure of synthesizing a highly hydrostable metal–organic framework, Eu,Gd-NMOFs. Silica-coated Eu,Gd-NMOFs exhibit high longitudinal (38 mM−1s−1) and transversal (222 mM−1s−1) relaxivities and strong fluorescence. In vitro and in vivo MRI studies confirm that Eu,Gd-NMOFs can induce both hyperintensities on T₁-weighed images and hypointensities on T₂-weighed images, suggesting great potential of the probe as a novel T₁−T₂ dual-mode imaging probe. The nanoparticle surface can be easily coupled with a variety of targeting moieties for different imaging purposes. It is also possible to impart onto the solid silica layer a mesoporous silica layer into which drug molecules can be loaded. These make the nanoparticles a modifiable platform technology that can find wide applications in modern imaging and theranostics.
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